



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,736	11/12/2003	Hugo Cheung	TI-32389.1	8891
23494	7590	05/19/2006	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			NGUYEN, TANH Q	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75265			2182	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/712,736	CHEUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tanh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Wade Brady (Reg. No. 32,080).

**Status of Application:** Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 09/22/05

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner inquired as to whether there was any response filed since the mailing of the Non-Compliant Appeal Brief on September 22, 2005. Applicant indicated that a response was sent on November 21, 2005. The examiner suggested that applicant resends the response along with the evidence indicating that the response was sent earlier.